	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case No. 05-44481-rdd
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	DPH HOLDINGS CORP., et al.,
9	
10	Reorganized Debtors.
11	
12	x
13	
14	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
15	300 Quarropas Street
16	White Plains, New York
17	May 26, 2011
18	10:14 AM
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	

Page 2 HEARING RE: Proposed Sixty-Sixth Omnibus Hearing Agenda filed by Ron E. Meisler on Behalf of DPH Holdings Corp., et al. HEARING RE: Proposed Forty-fourth Claims Hearing Agenda filed by Ron E. Meisler on Behalf of DPH Holdings Corp., et al. Transcribed by: Sara Davis

		Page 3
1		
2	A P P	EARANCES:
3	SKADD	EN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
4		Attorneys for the Debtors
5		155 North Wacker Drive
6		Chicago, IL 60606
7		
8	BY:	LOUIS S. CHIAPPETTA, ESQ.
9		NICK D. CAMPANARIO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
10		ALBERT L. HOGAN III, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
11		
12		
13	FAREL	LA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
14		Attorneys for Official Committee of Eligible Salaried
15		Retirees
16		235 Montgomery Street
17		17th Floor
18		San Francisco, CA 94104
19		
20	BY:	DEAN M. GLOSTER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 4
1	
2	SATTERLEE STEPHENS BURKE & BURKE LLP
3	Attorneys for VEBA Committee/Board
4	230 Park Avenue
5	New York, NY 10169
6	
7	BY: TIMOTHY T. BROCK, ESQ.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 5 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. CHIAPPETTA: Good morning, Your Honor. Louis 3 Chiappetta of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom on behalf of 4 DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors. 5 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. CHIAPPETTA: On the line with me --6 7 THE COURT: I'm sor -- yeah, I was going to say --8 you're actually going -- good, I want to take the other parties' appearances. There are people on the phone. 10 MR. CHIAPPETTA: Right. I have Al Hogan and Nick Campanario also of Skadden, Arps. They're going to be 11 12 addressing the motion filed by the Official Committee of 13 Eliqible Salaried Retirees, filed at Docket number 21262. And 14 I believe Dean Gloster is on the line from --15 MR. GLOSTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Dean Gloster 16 of Farella Braun & Martel representing the Official Committee 17 of Eligible Salaried Retirees. 18 THE COURT: Good morning. 19 MR. CHIAPPETTA: Your Honor, as you can see from the 20 agendas --21 MR. BROCK: All right, I'll -- let me make my 22 appearance. 23 MR. CHIAPPETTA: I'm sorry. 24 MR. BROCK: Timothy Brock from Satterlee, Stephens, 25 Burke & Burke on behalf of the VEBA committee.

Page 6 1 THE COURT: Good morning. 2 MR. BROCK: Good morning. 3 MR. CHIAPPETTA: Your Honor, as you could see from the 4 proposed agendas that were filed yesterday, there were no 5 claims matters that are going forward, so with Your Honor's 6 permission, I would like to just go forward with the omnibus 7 matters today. THE COURT: That's fine. 8 9 MR. CHIAPPETTA: Okay. So there's two matters on 10 today's agenda. The first one is the motion filed by the 11 Official Committee of Eligible Salaried Retirees which, if 12 granted, should resolve the VEBA Committee's motion that is 13 listed as the second matter at Docket number 20462. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. CHIAPPETTA: So with that, I'd like to turn over 16 to Dean Gloster. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And just, before you do that --18 when you say it should resolve it, I had already resolved that 19 I mean, the parties have resolved it. It's been 20 pending because of the motion that was filed recently and 21 that's the first item on the calendar. 22 MR. CHIAPPETTA: That's correct. 23 MR. GLOSTER: It's --24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. GLOSTER: -- exactly, Your Honor.

Page 7 THE COURT: All right, fine. Okay. 1 So you could go 2 ahead. 3 MR. GLOSTER: So, Your Honor, the issue is simply one 4 of trying to preserve what we've accomplished in the bankruptcy 5 which was (skip in audio) fungible for the health coverage tax 6 credit. And the difficulty is that in Congress, the legislation keeps sunsetting. And it's sort of tied to 7 8 reauthorization of -- right now, approval of the Columbia Trade 9 Bill. And there is some risk that the retirees at -- on 10 February 13th, 2012 may lose their eligibility for the health 11 coverage tax credit through this benefit. 12 THE COURT: Right. And you had -- and the VEBA 13 Committee had raised the potential of this issue late last 14 year --15 MR. GLOSTER: Correct. 16 THE COURT: -- and I gather that there was legislation 17 that was enacted in December of 2010 that extended the credit, although it lowered it to, I guess, fifteen percent through 18 19 February of 2012, at least. 20 MR. GLOSTER: Correct, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. GLOSTER: What happened was that was extended for 23 a year and the other provisions of the American Recovery and 24 Reinvestment Act, which included, you know, bumping the subsidy

from sixty-five to eighty percent and improving the benefit for

Page 8

eligible family members, those all sunsetted as of last

February. And the thought was that the new Congress would have

time to take it up. And currently, you know, the sort of

legislative situation is those reauthorizations are expected to

be attached to the approval of Columbia Trade Agreement this

summer. But our experience has been that legislation and the

process in Washington is unpredictable and there's been some

risk that the retirees would simply lose the subsidy.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GLOSTER: The IRS has frankly been enormously cooperative and took the position at the end of last year that even if the legislation were not reauthorized, they would simply allow retirees to sort of check a box on a form and they could continue to receive the health coverage tax credit on the current program. But there's nothing, sort of, written in stone in a statute that says that's the position that the IRS is going to take next year.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GLOSTER: And historically, before the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, these kind of benefits were set up through VEBAs in bankruptcies by 1114 committees in the context of -- they were done in lieu of COBRA continuation coverage as people lost their retiree benefits. And people would go to the IRS and get a private letter ruling saying just as the COBRA continuation coverage benefit would have been

Page 9 1 eliqible for the health coverage tax coverage, a benefit set up 2 through a VEBA by an 1114 committee which is in lieu of COBRA 3 continuation coverage should be eligible for the HCTC. And 4 the --5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. GLOSTER: -- IRS has, in fact, provided private 7 letter rulings and a number of these have been set up in the 8 past under that understanding. We, fortunately, had an easier 9 statute to work with because of the American Recovery and 10 Reinvestment Act, but we're in the position that there's going 11 to be substantial uncertainty about what's going to happen to 12 this program after February of 2012 if we don't get some 13 assistance from this Court that would make it easier for the 14 VEBA to go get a private letter ruling if that were necessary. 15 THE COURT: Okay. I have one -- I mean, I've read the 16 motion and the debtors' response to it. My first question is, 17 are you amenable to including in the proposed order on this the language that the debtors propose on -- in paragraph 4 of their 18 19 response? 20 MR. GLOSTER: Absolutely, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. GLOSTER: We're totally in agreement with 23 reorganized debtors that that language would be completely

Okay. And then, my other question is -- I

appropriate.

THE COURT:

24

Page 10 1 mean, you're quite candid in your papers saying that while 2 effectively the settlement that was approved in early April 3 2009 took the place of, among other things, COBRA. Or the 4 right to argue for COBRA. You did always -- although not 5 expressly, implicitly reserve the right to argue that that was 6 something extra. So I guess the -- having that in the record 7 and understanding that issue -- although let me say first as an 8 aside, I think that the law of the case is that at least as of 9 July of 2009, there was no -- I ruled that there was no ongoing 10 right for COBRA. 11 MR. GLOSTER: That's correct, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. GLOSTER: And, you know, that was not contested by 14 the 1114 committee --15 THE COURT: Right. 16 MR. GLOSTER: -- because we made the tactical --17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. GLOSTER: -- choice not to go fight that fight --19 THE COURT: But in any event --MR. GLOSTER: -- at those --20 21 THE COURT: -- my issue is this, and I don't think 22 it's an issue but I just want to air it for the record. 23 phrase "in lieu of" is often misused and it is so often misused 24 that I think at this point it may not necessary be a clear 25 phrase, even as defined in the dictionary.

Page 11

I have no problem with concluding that -- this settlement as it -- effectively, you know, as you explain in your whole list of items in your -- in paragraph 19 of your motion, the settlement effectively deprived the retirees of the right to get COBRA. And as a matter of practicalities, there wasn't any real right anyway.

And I have no problem with saying that that was the

And I have no problem with saying that that was the case. Notwithstanding your reservation, which you may have articulated to the debtors at some point before the money actually got paid and before the July hearing. I think where I have a problem is to -- is if I say that the settlement literally deprived you of that right, because it was a settlement and it didn't -- you know, it speaks for itself. So I have no problem if the interpretation of "in lieu of" means effectively was in replacement for COBRA among other things. And I think that's all you're asking for here.

MR. GLOSTER: That is all we're asking for, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, with that on the record, I'll grant the motion.

MR. GLOSTER: Thank you, Your Honor. We will work with the debtor over an agreed form of order and submit it to the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Thank you.

MR. GLOSTER: And then --

Page 12 THE COURT: And then you need to submit the order on 1 2 the other motion --3 MR. GLOSTER: Correct. Which --4 THE COURT: -- which now is -- the terms of that order 5 are agreed, right? MR. GLOSTER: I believe so. I had -- counsel and I 6 7 for the VEBA committee have exchanged forms of order and I think the only issue would be, we would just want the order 8 9 entered by this Court to become final and then the order can be 10 entered disbanding the 1114 committee. THE COURT: Right. The last order that will be 11 12 entered will be the one disbanding the 1114 committee. 13 MR. GLOSTER: Correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. When you e-mail the 15 orders, just remind me of that. 16 MR. GLOSTER: Thank you very much. 17 THE COURT: Put them in that order when you e-mail 18 them. 19 MR. CHIAPPETTA: That's fine, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. CHIAPPETTA: Thank you very much. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. And thank you for resolving 23 this the way you have. 24 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:24 AM) 25

	Py 13 01 14			
		Page 13		
1				
2	INDEX			
3				
4	RULINGS			
5		Page	Line	
6	Motion filed by the Official Committee of	11	20	
7	Eligible Salaried Retirees granted			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 14 1 2 CERTIFICATION 3 4 I, Sara Davis, certify that the foregoing transcript is a 5 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 6 Digitally signed by Sara Davis DN: cn=Sara Davis, o, ou, 7 Sara Dav email=digital1@veritext.com, Date: 2011.05.27 13:25:40 -04'00' 8 9 SARA DAVIS 10 AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber (CET**D-567) 11 12 Veritext 13 200 Old Country Road 14 Suite 580 15 Mineola, NY 11501 16 17 Date: April 27, 2011 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25